Docket No.: 0229-0776P

**REMARKS** 

Claims 1-3, 5, 7, and 10-13 remain pending in the above-identified application and stand

ready for further action on the merits.

Request for Personal Interview with Patent Examiner before first Office Action

Applicant's representative respectfully requests a personal interview with the Patent

Examiner before the issuance of any Office Action. The Examiner will be contacted within

about one month from the filing of this Request for Continued Examination, i.e. at the beginning

of October, in order to schedule the interview.

Response to Points Raised in Advisory Action

In the Advisory Action, the Examiner expresses reasons why the claims are not allowable.

Applicants traverse these reasons and request reconsideration.

The Advisory Action states that it would be obvious to employ a volume of 300 cc which

was "...common at the time of the invention" and employ the center of gravity distance feature

disclosed by Rugge '160 (U.S. Patent 5,851,160). However, just as Rugge '160 kept it constant

form 235 cc to 285 cc. Further, the Examiner states that Oonuki '777 (U.S. Patent 5,348,777)

shows that it is known to have center of gravity distances in a range of values as suitable

selections without any limitation with regard to head volume.

However, Rugge '160 fails to disclose or suggest a head volume of 300 cc or the "V-C

relationship" of the present invention (see claim 1). Further, the asserted basis for modifying the

club had volume of Rugge '160 to be 300 cc is based on improper hindsight reconstruction, since

Birch, Stewart, Kolasch & Birch, LLP

2

Application No. 10/657,187 Amendment dated September 2, 2005

First Preliminary Amendment

the other cited references fail to mention the relevant features of Rugge '160 associated with the

described  $\Delta 2$  distance range. Further, it is improper to reject the present invention by combining

the cited references which are inconsistent with each other.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to reconsider and withdraw

the rejections of the claims.

**CONCLUSION** 

In view of the above arguments, applicant believes the pending application is in condition

for allowance.

Dated: September 2, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

Andrew D. Meikle

Registration No.: 32,868

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

Docket No.: 0229-0776P

8110 Gatehouse Rd

Suite 100 East

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attorney for Applicant

Birch, Stewart, Kolasch & Birch, LLP

3